

Mountain Journal of Science and Interdisciplinary Research PRINT ISSN: 2619-7855 ONLINE ISSN: 2651-7744

July-September 2019 • 79 (2) : 50-57



# Performance of *Trichoderma koningii* and *Bacillus* sp. as Potential Biocontrol Agents against *Fusarium* Wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum*) of Sweetpotato (*Ipomoea batatas*)

Jophr L. Galian<sup>1\*</sup> and Asuncion L. Nagpala<sup>2</sup>

1 – Northern Philippine Root Crops Research and Training Center, Benguet State University 2 – Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Benguet State University \*corresponding author email address: <u>jophrlee@gmail.com</u>

### Abstract

Fusarium wilt (Fusarium oxysporum) is now becoming a big concern in Cordillera Administrative Region, Philippines affecting different crops including sweetpotato. In effort to help find solution to this problem, the study documented the biological control ability of Trichoderma koningii and Bacillus sp. against *Fusarium* wilt of sweetpotato through bioassay and pot experiment. Result of the bioassay test in the laboratory showed significant results. The growth of *F. oxysporum* was inhibited by Benomyl (reference fungicide) and T. koningii. The widest inhibition zone of 29 mm after three days was observed in Benomyl followed by T. koningii with 20 mm. Bacillus sp. gave the least inhibition zone of 3 mm. In the pot experiment, sweetpotato grown in soils amended with T. koningii had the lowest percent infection and lowest severity of diseases. At the end of the cropping season, populations of Bacillus and F. oxysporum decreased while T. koningii increased. The highest reduction of Fusarium spores from 1.8 x 106 to 9.50 x 105 was noted in soils amended with *T. koningii*, followed by Benomyl from 1.8 x 10<sup>6</sup> to 1.40 x 10<sup>5</sup> cfu/ml. Results consistently showed better performance of T. koningii as sole inoculant in inhibiting Fusarium wilt and even better than Benomyl, thus should be encouraged for farmers to adopt.

KEYWORDS

Trichoderma koningii Bacillus sp. Fusarium wilt inhibition

#### Introduction

Globally, sweetpotato is the sixth most important food crop after rice, wheat, potatoes, maize and cassava. In developing countries, it is the fifth most important food crop with 105 million metric tons or more being produced globally each year. The developing countries grow 95% of the total production. Sweetpotato can grow at altitudes ranging from sea level to 2,500 meters. It requires fewer inputs and less labor than other crops such as maize, and tolerates marginal growing conditions (Sweetpotato Facts and Figures, 2015).

In the Philippines, sweetpotato used to be a staple food in the mountainous regions of Northern Philippines (Yen, 1974; Fang-asan et al., 1998). In the Cordillera Administrative Region (CAR), the province of Ifugao had the highest sweetpotato production of 7,327.75 metric tons (MT) while Benguet had the widest production area of 792 hectares producing 3,744.75 MT. Other provinces in the region have lower productions-Mountain Province with 1,765.78 MT, Abra with 644.84 MT, Kalinga with 172.35 MT and Apayao with 64.15 MT. Among the 13 municipalities of Benguet, Kabayan has the widest production area of sweetpotato with 146 ha (Tenorio & Gonzales, 2013).

Sweetpotato production is usually affected by pests and diseases caused by fungi, bacteria, nematodes and viruses (Mwanga, 2010). In 2013, sweetpotato showing wilt symptoms and browning of stems was brought for diagnosis at the Benguet State University (BSU) Plant Health Clinic from the municipality of Kabayan. The client claimed that majority of sweetpotato in the area was wilting. Further diagnostic test revealed that Fusarium, a soil borne fungus, was associated with the wilted sweetpotato plants. Additional sweetpotato specimens showing the same symptoms from other areas such as Ifugao, Buguias and Kapangan were brought for diagnosis at the Plant Health Clinic (Sebiano, 2015). Most of the clients observed that the sweetpotato infected with wilt did not bear roots resulting in economic loss. In most cases, Fusarium wilt is usually overlooked until the spread of the disease.

Currently, pesticide application is the main method used to suppress Fusarium infection but according to farmers, this somewhat defeat the purpose of planting sweetpotato. According to them, they plant sweetpotato to minimize input such as pesticide since these are expensive and even harmful to their health and the environment. Thus, these farmers are looking at BSU to help solve the problem in a more environment-friendly way. In 2014, farmers and local government agencies sought the assistance of the Northern Philippine Root Crops Research and Training Center (NPRCRTC) in BSU to identify and provide mitigating measures against sweetpotato wilt. In response, clean planting materials and technical assistance are being provided to affected farmers. However, NPRCRTC and the Department of Plant Pathology recognize the need to offer an integrated solution to Fusarium wilt and is currently looking at biocontrol agents.

Biocontrol agents act as antagonist by

competing for space and nutrients. During this process, the antagonist may suppress the growth of the pathogen population in the rhizosphere and thus reduce disease development. In addition, biological controls are known to be ecologically friendly and do not result in the accumulation of hazardous residues in food commodities. This makes biological control as the banner of Integrated Pest Management local and abroad (Archita, 2018). Disease control agents for sweetpotato are known including *Trichoderma*, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* species.

There is considerable interest in the exploitation of naturally occurring organisms, such as bacteria, viruses and fungi for the control of crop pests, weeds and diseases (Butt et al., 2001). With the global standing of sweetpotato and the different benefits it provides, there is a big incentive to look into determining and developing biocontrol agents against Fusarium wilt. Previous researches have shown that Trichoderma and Bacillus sp. are potential biological control agents against Fusarium wilt (Zaim et al., 2018). However, more studies should be done to further evaluate their capacity in suppressing *Fusarium* wilt when combined with other management strategies or applied alone. This was the primary aim of this study - to determine the biological control ability of Trichoderma koningii and Bacillus sp. against sweetpotato Fusarium wilt through bioassay and through pot experiments either applied alone or in combination. The proponents hope that the results would help advance the search for controlling Fusarium wilt and make sweetpotato a viable low input crop again particularly to indigent farmers.

# Methodology

#### Bioassay of Trichoderma koningii and Bacillus sp. against Fusarium oxysporum

Pure culture of *Fusarium oxysporum* with a spore count of  $1.8 \times 10^6$  was prepared and used. An amount of 0.1ml was transferred to petri dish. After which, 10ml of Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) was poured in the petri plates. The plates were carefully rotated to ensure even distribution of the inoculum. After the agar solidified, a 10mm sterile cork borer was used to create a well in the middle of the PDA. After this, 0.1ml of standardized *Bacillus* sp. suspension ( $1.7 \times 10^6$ ) cFu/ml was slowly



dispensed into the well of the PDA until a concave upper rim was achieved. The same procedure was followed in determining the effect of *Trichoderma koningii* and Benomyl against *Fusarium*. The zone of inhibition was measured using a caliper. The zero mark was placed at the center of the well and from that, the inhibition zone was measured from the center to the edge of area with zero growth.

#### Effectiveness of *Trichoderma*, *Bacillus* and Benomyl against *Fusarium* Wilt in Pot Experiment

**Experimental design.** The pot experiment was set-up at the NPRCRTC. Complete Randomized Design (CRD) was used with eight treatments replicated three times. The treatments are presented in Table 1. For each replicate, ten (10)

Table 1						
The treatments for the pot experiment in the study						
Treatment	Description					
Tı	No treatment					
Τ2	Fusarium oxysporum					
T <sub>3</sub>	Benomyl application					
Τ4	Trichoderma koningii					
T5	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.					
Τ6	<i>T. koningii</i> + Benomyl					
Τ7	Bacillus sp. + Benomyl					
Τ8	T. koningii + Bacillus sp. + Benomyl					

sweetpotato samples were planted. The sweetpotato variety 'Immitlog' was used for the experiment as previous study showed it to be susceptible to *Fusarium* wilt. All recommended cultural management in sweetpotato production such as weeding, irrigation and fertilization were employed uniformly in each treatment as needed.

**Preparation of Potting Media and Inocula.** The potting media used was made of one part carbonated rice hull ash and one part sterilized forest soil (1:1 ratio). After mixing the media, the 8x14" size polyethylene plastic (PEP) bags were filled with eight (8) kilograms potting media. Agricultural lime at 100 g/pot was applied one week before planting based on the six (6) tons/ ha recommended rate and practiced by farmers. In like manner, fully decomposed chicken manure at a rate of 167g/pot was applied one week before planting. This was based on 10 tons/ha recommended rate (Galian & Nagpala, 2006).

Standardized spore count of *Trichoderma* koningii ( $1.6x10^6$ ) and *Fusarium oxysporum* ( $1.8x10^6$ ) was prepared using haemacytometer. For *Bacillus* sp., a suspension of  $1.7x10^6$  was prepared using spectrophotometer at 690 nm.

**Evaluation of Biocontrol Agents In Vivo**. Five (5) ml of *Trichoderma koningii* and *Bacillus* sp. were drenched for one week before planting to allow microorganisms to grow while 5ml of  $1.8 \times 10^6$  *Fusarium* suspension was drenched near the root zone 2 weeks after. Benomyl was used as the reference fungicide. For Benomyl application treatment, planting materials were dipped for one minute in a Benomyl suspension following the recommended rate of 20g/16L before planting. Healthy 20-30 cm sweetpotato stem were planted in the PEP bags one week after *T. koningii* and *Bacillus* sp. application.

The number of days from inoculation to symptom appearance, disease incidence, disease severity, final population counts and disease index were determined. Disease incidence is the proportion of infected plants in total plant population while disease severity (sometime called "intensity") refers to the relative or absolute area of plant tissue affected by disease or the degree of infection. It was determined by adopting the scale proposed by Chunsheng et al. (1988).

Data gathered were statistically analyzed with Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Significant differences between treatments were analyzed using the Tukey's Test at 5% level of significance.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Biological Control Ability of *Trichoderma* koningii and *Bacillus* sp. against *Fusarium* oxysporum through Bioassay

Table 2 presents the zone of inhibition observed and recorded after 72 hours of incubation. Results show that Benomyl fungicide (control) had the widest inhibition zone against *Fusarium oxysporum* with 29mm followed by *Trichoderma koningii* with

# Table 2

Mean inhibition zone (mm) exhibited by Trichoderma koningii and Bacillus sp. against Fusarium oxysporum after 72 hours

Treatment	Mean inhibition	Remarks
Sterilized distilled water	0 <sup>c</sup>	
Trichoderma koningii	20 <sup>b</sup>	very active
Bacillus sp.	3 <sup>c</sup>	
Benomyl	29ª	very active

Means with the same letters in a column are not significantly different at 5% Tukey's test

a mean inhibition zone of 20mm. Both Benomyl and *T. koningii* are categorized as very active in suppressing *Fusarium* in-vitro. Apparently *T. koningii* is comparable to Benomyl in its effect in inhibiting the growth of *Fusarium* in culture. *Bacillus* sp. gave the smallest inhibition zone of 3mm. According to Altinok and Erdogan (2015), *T. harzianum* produces volatile and non-volatile metabolites that inhibites *F. oxysporum* growth on Potato Dextrose Medium and in their in-vitro colonization study also demonstrated the rootcolonizing ability of these antagonists. No clear zone was formed between the *Bacillus* sp. and *T. koningii*. After 24 hours of incubation, the growth of *Bacillus* sp. was visible but after three days of incubation *T. koningii* overgrew *Bacillus* sp.. *T. koningii* did not suppress *Bacillus* sp. but competes for nutrition and space.

### Efficacy of *Trichoderma koningii*, *Bacillus* sp. and Benomyl against *Fusarium* Wilt of Sweetpotato in pot experiment

Number of days from inoculation to symptom appearance. The number of days from inoculation to symptom appearance significantly differ between treatments (Table 3). Inoculation of Fusarium (1.8x10<sup>6</sup>) through root wounding resulted to symptom development in stem and leaves of sweetpotato. T2, which has no biocontrol agent amendments, was the first to show symptoms of interveinal yellowing and abscission of leaves after 38.6 days from inoculation, then death of plant as the disease progressed. This was followed by T<sub>5</sub> (*Bacillus* sp. inoculated plants) which showed similar symptoms after 50.2 days of inoculation. This shows that Bacillus sp. alone was not able to suppress the Fusarium root infection. Benomyl showed suppression of Fusariumf root infection at 77.8 days.

On the other hand,  $T_4$  (*T. koningii*) had the longest time of symptom expression at 91.3 days and with minimal interveinal yellowing. Most of the infected plants were able to reach maturity

# Table 3

Performances of the treatment in terms of symptom appearance, disease incidence, disease severity and disease index.

Treatment	Number of days before symptom appearance	Disease Incidence (%)	Disease severity (%)	Disease index (%)
T1 (No treatment)	0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0
T2 (Fusarium oxyporum)	38.60 <sup>c</sup>	66.70 <sup>a</sup>	51.30ª	51.3ª
T <sub>3</sub> (Benomyl)	77.80 <sup>ab</sup>	30.00 <sup>ab</sup>	22.00 <sup>bc</sup>	22.0 <sup>bc</sup>
T4 (Trichoderma koningii)	91.30ª	16.60 <sup>b</sup>	7.30 <sup>bc</sup>	7.3 <sup>bc</sup>
T5 ( <i>Bacillus</i> sp.)	50.20 <sup>c</sup>	40.00 <sup>ab</sup>	32.60 <sup>ab</sup>	32.6 <sup>ab</sup>
T6 ( <i>T. koningii</i> + Benomyl)	87.90 <sup>ab</sup>	26.60 <sup>b</sup>	13.30 <sup>bc</sup>	13.3 <sup>bc</sup>
T7 ( <i>Bacillus</i> sp. + Benomyl)	72.70 <sup>b</sup>	23.30 <sup>b</sup>	14.60 <sup>bc</sup>	14.6 <sup>bc</sup>
T8 ( <i>T. koningii + Bacillus</i> sp. + Benomyl)	88.50 <sup>ab</sup>	17.00 <sup>b</sup>	10.00 <sup>bc</sup>	10.0 <sup>bc</sup>

Means with the same letters in a column are not significantly different at 5% Tukey's test

stage and produced more roots. Treatments with *Trichoderma* combinations such as T<sub>6</sub> (*T. koningii* + Benomyl) and T<sub>8</sub> (*T. koningii* + Benomyl + Bacillus sp.) also have lower numbers of days before symptom emergence. Based on these results, it appears that *T. koningii* alone suppressed the infection of *Fusarium* wilt in sweetpotato. This result is similar with findings of other studies where *Trichoderma* species were found effective against *Fusarium* wilt. Thangavelu (2002) reported that application of *T. harzianum* Th-10, as dried banana leaf formulation after planting was most effective against *Fusarium* wilt in banana plantation.

**Disease incidence.** Significant differences were recorded on the disease incidence as shown in Table 3. Sweetpotato inoculated with *Fusarium* alone (T<sub>2</sub>) had the most number of infected plants (66.7 %) from inoculation to harvest, followed by *Bacillus* sp. inoculated pots (T<sub>5</sub>) with 40% and fungicide Benomyl treated plants (T<sub>3</sub>) with 30%. Pots with *T. koningii* (T<sub>4</sub>) treated soil had the lowest disease incidence with 16.6% infection. Combining *T. koningii* with other treatments, such as T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, and T<sub>8</sub>, also have lower disease incidence at 26.6%, 23.3% and 17%, respectively.

Such result corroborates with the study of Ranasingh et al. (2006) in which the pathogen and the introduced biocontrol agent compete for the availability of space and nutrients. During this process, the antagonist may suppress the growth of the pathogen population in the rhizosphere and thus reduces disease development. In addition, *Trichoderma* strains are known to produce antibiotics and toxins, which are volatile or non-volatile in nature, and have a direct effect on other organisms. Chemical such as trichothecin, sesquiterpine and Trichodermin produced by *Trichoderma* have antimicrobial effect on bacteria and fungi.

Plants treated with *T. koningii* ( $T_5$ ) were able to reach maturity and produced bigger roots compared to other treatments. The infected plants also reach maturity period and produced more roots but were smaller in size. From this result, *T. koningii* can potentially prevent the progress of infection caused by *Fusarium*. This is consistent with the study of Ubaub and Requina (2016) who reported that different rates of vesicular mycorrhizae and *Trichoderma* delayed symptom appearance and reduced incidence of Panama wilt disease. On the other hand, Benomyl was observed to be more effective when combined with *T. koningii* and *Bacillus* sp.

Disease severity. The severity of Fusarium wilt infection in sweetpotato was consistent with disease incidence as seen in Table 3. Fusarium inoculated plants (T<sub>2</sub>) were the most severely infected at 51.3% disease severity, followed by plants applied with *Bacillus* sp.  $(T_5)$  at 32.6%. This result implies that Bacillus sp. was not effective enough to suppress the infection. Meanwhile, plants applied with Benomyl (T<sub>3</sub>), T. koningii + Benomyl (T<sub>6</sub>) and Bacillus sp. + Benomyl (T<sub>7</sub>) decreased disease severity as shown by older leaves turning yellow and by the length of tan vascular system which is 1/3 of total plant length. However, it is inferior compared to infection of plants applied with T. koningii only (T<sub>4</sub>). T<sub>4</sub> and T8 (T. koningii + Bacillus sp. + Benomyl) had the least plant tissue disease severity at 7.3% and 10%, respectively. Also, these treatment had the shortest length of infected tan vascular system at 5cm. These results support the findings of Adeeba et al. (2016) that Trichoderma isolates can successfully inhibit the growth of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici causing tomato wilt. Similar antagonistic effects were also found by Sarker et al. (2013). Likewise, Raghuchander et al. (1997) reported that inoculation of potted abaca plants with Trichoderma viride and yeast showed 81.76% and 82.52% reduction of wilt disease severity.

Initial and final population of Fusarium, Bacillus sp. and Trichoderma koningii. Figure 1 presents the initial and final population count of the microorganism used in the study. Fusarium and Bacillus sp. had decreased in population after harvest from the initial spore count of  $1.8 \times 10^6$  to  $1.6 \times 10^6$ . Significant difference was noted in the final population of Fusarium among the different treatments. The highest colony count after harvest was observed in the  $T_2$  (Fusarium oxysporum) with a mean of 2.15x10<sup>5</sup> CFU. The rest of the treatments had lower colony count ranging from 1.10x10<sup>5</sup> to 1.47x10<sup>5</sup>. Significantly lowest final population of Fusarium was observed in T<sub>4</sub> (*T. koningii* only). From the initial population of  $1.8 \times 10^6$ , it decreased to  $9.50 \times 10^4$  after four months. Other treatments with T. koningii component such T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> also have significantly lower final Fusarium population but still higher than  $T_4$ . This is consistent with other variables observed in this study that show effectivity of T. koningii in controlling Fusarium wilt singly and it appears that combining it with Benomyl fungicide or with Bacillus sp. lower its efficacy.



55



On the other hand, *T. koningii* population increased in inoculated pots after four months of application particularly in  $T_4$  where it is the sole inoculant with 47.5x  $10^5/g$  soil. However in treatments with other component, *T. koningii* population was lower particularly in  $T_8$  where it has significantly lower population at  $13.6 \times 10^5$ . This shows that *T. koningii* was reduced by both Benomyl and *Bacillus* sp. Lorezco (2004) and Alizadeh et al. (2007) already showed that Benomyl inhibits the growth of *T. koningii* by 11.60-13.00 mm in-vitro. On the other hand, the effect of *Bacillus* sp. could be simply a matter of competition.

Similar with *Fusarium*, the population of *Bacillus* sp. also decreased after four months of application in pots. This corroborates with the study of Weller (1983) which showed that rhizosphere population of introduced microorganisms are initially high but declines as the plant develops. It was presumed that as the plant root system expands, the introduced microorganisms are displaced or preempted by indigenous microorganisms.

#### Conclusions

Results of the study showed promising biocontrol activity of Trichoderma koningii against Fusarium oxysporum affecting sweetpotato. In the bioassay test, the reference fungicide Benomyl had the widest inhibition zone followed by T. koningii while Bacillus sp. has least inhibition zone against F. oxysporum. However in the pot experiment, T. koningii performed best as sole inoculant in preventing Fusarium wilt disease. Sweetpotatoes planted in pots inoculated with T. koningii only had the highest number of days before symptom of wilt appearance and lowest disease incidence, severity, and index. The major mechanism for the inhibition of F. oxysporum by T. koningii is through out competing the pathogen as seen in the final populations of the microorganisms. Fusarium oxysporum population was lowest in T. koningii inoculated soils while population T. koningii increased. Moreover, the result showed that T. koningii has better performance and thus, could be better substitute to the fungicide Benomyl.

# References

- Adeeba, R., Momena, K., Manzur-E-Mohsina, F., Md.
  Ataur, R., Uddin, A.F.M.J & Nahiyan, A.S.M.
  (2016). *Trichoderma* Suppresses Pathogenic *Fusarium* Causing Tomato Wilt in Bangladesh. *British Microbiology Research Journal* 14(4): 1-9.
- Alizadeh, H.R., Sharifi-Tehrani, A., & Hedjaroude, G.A. (2007). Evaluation of the effects of chemical versus biological control on *Botrytis cinerea* agent of gray mould disease of strawberry. *Commun Agric Appl Biol Sci.* 72(4):795-800.
- Altinok, H.H., & Erdogan, O. (2015). Determination of the in vitro effect of *Trichoderma harzianum* on phytopathogenic strains of *Fusarium oxysporum*.
- Archita, B. (2018). Biological Control: An Ecofriendly way of Pest Management in Tea. Accessed on good morning science https://gmscience.com
- Butt, T., Jackson, C., & Magan, N., (2001). Fungal biological control agents: progress, problems and potential. CAB International. Pp 1:1-2
- Chunsheng, X., Zuxia, F., Ruizi, F., Hua, Y., & Nangtong C. (1988). An Evaluation of South China Sweetpotato germplasm for *Fusarium* wilt resistance. International Potato Center. pp 72-75
- Fang-asan, M.L.D., Mula, R.P., Gayao, B.T., Sim, J.M., & Brady, C.H.M. (1998). The dynamics of sweetpotato variety maintenance and planting material management in Bayyo, Mountain Province. In Prain, G. and C. Bagalanon. Conservation and Change in Farmer Management of Agricultural Biodiversity in the Context of Development. UPWARD Los Baños, Laguna. Pp 80-120
- Galian, J.L. & Nagpala, A.L., (2006). Effect of different levels of *Trichoderma* compost as plant growth promoter in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) *BSU Research Journal* 53: 100-114
- Lorezco, T. M., (2004). Management of Fusarium wilt disease of Garden Pea using Trichoderma species.
  Pp. 10-12. Unpublished Undergraduate Thesis.
  Benguet State University, La Trinidad, Benguet

- Mwanga, R. (2010). Quality Developed Planting Materials, Protocols and Standards for Vegetatively Propagated Crops. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper
- Nagpala, A.L. (1999). Identification and Management of *Fusarium oxysporum* F. sp. pisi infecting garden pea using *Trichoderma* spp. Unpublished graduate thesis, UPLB, Laguna, Philippines.Pp. 79-80
- Ranasingh, A., Saurabh, A., & Nedunchezhiyan, M. (2006). Use of *Trichoderma* in Disease Management. Pp 68-70
- Raghuchander, T., Jayashree, K., & Samiyappan, R. (1997). Management of *Fusarium* wilt of banana using antagonistic microorganisms. *Journal of Biological Control*, 11:101–105.
- Sebiano, B. (2015). Trichoderma against Fusarium wilt on sweetpotato. Rangtay Vol. 16. No. 2 Official publication of the Office of Extension Services. Pp. 4
- Sarker, A.M., Sultana, N., Islam, M.R., Rashid, M., & Bhuian, Z.R. (2013). Efficacy of some rhizosphere microorganisms in controlling *Fusarium* wilt of tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*). The Agriculturists 11(2):96-103.
- Sweetpotato facts and figures. Retrieved from http://www.integratedbreeding.net/770/com munities/.../sweetpotato-facts-figures Accessed on April 7, 2017
- Thangavelu, R., Palaniswami, A., Ramakrishnan, G., Doraiswamy, S., Muthukrishnan, S., & Velazhahan, R. (2001). Involvement of Fusaric acid detoxification by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain Pf10 in the biological control of Fusarium wilt of banana caused by *Fusarium oxysporum F.* sp. cubense. *Journal of Plant Disease and Protection*, 108: 433-445.
- Tenorio, A.L. & Gonzales, R. (2013). Retrieved from http://docplayer.net. Accessed on April 10, 2017
- Ubaub, L.T. & Requina, J.D. (2016). Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizae and Trichoderma harzianum as Biological Control Agents against Panama Disease of 'Cavendish' Banana Caused by Fusarium oxysporum F. Sp. Cubense Tropical Race 4. Asian Research Journal of Agriculture 1(2): 1-11

\*\*\*\*

- Weller, D.M. (1983). Biological Control of Plant Diseases: Progress and challenges of the future. New York Plenum Press. Pp. 211-222.
- Yen, D.E. (1974). The Sweetpotato and oceania: An essay in Ethno-Botany. Bernice T. Bishop Museum Bulletin 236. Bishop Museum Press. Honolulu, Hawaii.Pp. 390
- Zaim, S., Bekkar, A.A., & Belabid, L. (2018). Efficacy of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Trichoderma harzianum* combination on chickpea *Fusarium* wilt caused by *F. oxysporum* F. sp. Ciceris Pp. 217-226